RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAR 2 7 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-20 have presented in the above-identified 1 U.S. Patent Application. 2 3 Claims 2, 5-7, 11, 16 and 17 have been withdrawn from 4 consideration in response to a Restriction Requirement as described in Paragraph 2 of the Office Action. 6 7 Claims 21-23 have been added by this Amendment A. 8 9 Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-10, 12-15 and 18-23 are in the 10 Application and reconsideration of the Application is 11 hereby respectfully requested. 12 13 Referring to Paragraph 3 of the Office Action, Claim 14 12 has been objected to because of a Claim informality 15 kindly pointed out by Examiner. Claim 12 has been amended 16 to remove the Claim informality. Therefore, objection to 17 Claim 12 has been answered by amendment. 18 19 Referring to Paragraph 4, Claims 15, 18, 19, and 20 20 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, 21 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out 22 and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant 23 regards as the invention. The ambiguity in the Claims 24 identified by Examiner, an inconsistency in the use of 25 "signal group/groups", is believed to have been corrected 26 27 by the amendments to the Claims. Therefore, rejection of

162030 TI-35878 Page 8

```
Claims 15, 18, 19, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second
    paragraph, has been answered by amendment.
2
3
         Referring to Paragraph 7 of the Office Action, Claims
4
    1, 3, 9, 10, 12-14, 15, and 19 have been rejected under 35
    U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication
    2005/0003781 issued in the name of Kunz et al (hereinafter
    referred to as Kunz). Referring to Paragraph 9 of the
    Office Action, Claims 4, 8, and 20 have been rejected under
9
    35 U.S.C, 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kunz (cited
10
    above) in view of U.S. Patent 4,989,204 issued in the name
11
    of Shimizu et al (hereinafter referred to as Shimizu).
12
13
         Before considering the relationship of the references
14
    and the Claims, the present invention, as defined by the
15
    amended Claims, will be summarized. Almost from the
16
    beginning of the fabrication of integrated circuits, the
17
    goal has been to include in the chips an increasing number
18
    of components. This increasing number of components has
19
    been possible because of the decreasing dimensions of the
20
    individual components. In addition, the number of bits
21
    being simultaneously processed has been steadily
22
    increasing. For example, data groups being processed have
23
    expanded from 4 bits to 128 bits. With the decreasing
24
    component dimensions along with the increasing component
25
    complexity, the problem of entering signal groups into the
26
27
    semiconductor chips has been an increasingly difficult
28
    problem. The conducting paths themselves have approached
29
    the limits as to what can be physically manipulated. A
    practical technique of transferring logic signal groups
30
```

from chip-to-chip has proven an extremely difficult

technological problem. Various techniques, such as the

1

2

29

```
multiplexing of chip interface terminals, have been used
3
   with mixed success.
5
         The solution to this problem as disclosed in the
6
   present Application and described in the Claims of the
7
   Application is to provide a non-conducting path between the
8
    semiconductor chips. This non-conducting path removes the
9
   problem of the physical conducting paths at the cost of an
10
    increase in the number of components used to effect a
11
   wireless transfer of signal groups. However, the component
12
   miniaturization has made it more convenient to accommodate
13
    additional components on each chip as compared to providing
14
    additional chip-to-chip conducting paths.
                                               The Claims, as
15
    amended, clearly indicate that the signal transmitting
16
    component and the signal receiving component are chips
17
    located on the same integrated circuit board or the same
18
    semiconductor substrate. This relationship is clearly
19
    present in the independent Claims 1, 9, and 15.
20
    Furthermore, the decreased dimensions of the distance
21
    between the semiconductor chips themselves require
22
    relatively little power to transfer wireless signals there
23
    between. In addition, once the receiving and the
24
    transmitting components have been designed and tested, the
    incorporation of these components for wireless transmission
26
    can be incorporated in a catalog of chips that can be
27
    fabricated conveniently.
28
```

162030 TI-35878 Page 10

30

```
Referring once again to the references, the Kunz
1
   reference describes and claims a wireless receiver unit,
2
   the thrust of the invention being the technique for
   processing the incoming wireless signals.
                                                Nowhere in the
4
   Kunz reference is there any teaching of the transmission of
5
   information between two components on a circuit board.
6
   Indeed, nowhere in the Kunz reference is any indication of
7
   the source or the location of the wireless transmitting
8
   units that provide the signals for the disclosed circuit to
9
   manipulate. Therefore, the invention sought to be
10
   protected by the amended Claims is not claimed, disclosed,
11
   or even suggested by the Kunz reference. Consequently,
12
    rejection of Claims 1, 3, 9, 10, 12-14, 15, and 19 is
13
    respectfully traversed.
14
15
         Referring to the Shimizu reference, this reference
16
    discloses apparatus for improving the receipt of a wireless
17
    signal from a transmitter that is crossing boundaries of
18
    cell phone area. Clearly the movement of the wireless
19
    transmitter is unrelated to the transfer of signal groups
20
    from one semiconductor chip to a second semiconductor chip
21
    on the same substrate or on the same integrated circuit.
22
    Even when the transmitting and receiving chips are on
23
   different circuit boards, the position of the transmitting
24
    wireless unit is fixed relative to the receiving wireless
25
           Thus, the Shimizu reference in no way makes up for
26
    the shortcomings of the configuration described by the Kunz
27
    reference. The references, either alone or together, do
28
   not relate to the configuration of the Patent Application
29
```

162030 TI-35878 Page 11

in which, to avoid the use of conducting paths, signal

- 1 groups are transmitted by wireless techniques between chips
- 2 on a circuit board or integrated circuit board. Therefore,
- 3 rejection of Claims 4, 8, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(e) as
- 4 being unpatentable over Kunz in view of Shimizu is
- 5 respectfully traversed.

6

162030

- 7 Consequently, it is believed that Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-
- 8 10, 12-15 and 18-23, all the Claims now in the Application,
- 9 are in condition for allowance.

TI-35878 Page 12

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 2 7 2007

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing discussion and the foregoing

- 2 amendments, it is believed that Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-10, 12-15
- 3 and 18-23 are now in condition for allowance and allowance
- 4 of Claims 1, 3, 4, 8-10, 12-15 and 18-23 is respectfully
- 5 requested. Applicant(s) hereby respectfully request a
- 6 timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this Application.

Respectfully submitted,

William W. Holloway

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 26,182

Texas Instruments Incorporated PO Box 655474, MS 3999

Dallas, TX 75265

(281) 274-4064

Dated: 03/14/07

PAGE 14/14 * RCVD AT 3/27/2007 5:59:23 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/20 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID: * DURATION (mm-ss):04-52